The Use of JML in Embedded Real-Time Systems

Joseph Kiniry Technical University of Denmark

> JTRES 2012 24 October 2012



Acknowledgements

- Some content based on an OOPSLA tutorial by: Gary T. Leavens, Curtis Clifton, Hridesh Rajan, and Robby
- which in turn was based on a CAV tutorial by: Gary T. Leavens, Joseph R. Kiniry, and Erik Poll
- which in turn was based on ECOOP, ETAPS, FM, FMCO, and TOOLS tutorials by some of the above and: David Cok, Fintan Fairmichael, and Dan Zimmerman

This Talk

- a bit of a Java Modeling Language tutorial
 - (to help all of you who are using JML in your research and talks not have to reintroduce JML in each talk and to proselytize a bit about the language)
- details about constructs relevant to specifying and reasoning about RT Java
 - (some advanced facets of the language)
- identification of research opportunities
 - (try to be visionary and inspirational)

The Java Modeling Language (JML)

- Today:
 - formal
 - sequential
 - functional behavior
 - mathematical models
 - Java I.4, JavaCard, Personal Java, etc.

- Ongoing:
 - mechanized semantics
 - multithreading
 - temporal logic
 - resources
 - Java 1.5 and later

JML's Goals

- usable by and useful for "normal" Java programmers
- JML syntax is an extension of Java's syntax
- practical and effective for detailed modelbased designs
- useful for specifying existing code or performing design-by-contract
- support a wide range of tools

Detailed Design Specification

- JML handles:
 - inter-module interfaces
 - classes and interfaces
 - fields (data)
 - methods (behavior)

- JML does not handle:
 - user interface
 - architecture
 - dataflow
 - design patterns

Basic Approach

- Floyd/Hoare-style specifications (contracts)
- method pre- and postconditions
 - preconditions are client obligations
 - postconditions are supplier obligations
- class and object invariants
 - invariants must hold during quiescence
- ...and then add a load of features necessary to specify programs in an OO language as rich (and messy, and complex) as Java

A First JML Specification Example

field specification public class ArrayOps { private /*@ spec_public @*/ Object[] a; //@ public invariant 0 < a.length;</pre> /*@ requires 0 < arr.lengt object invariant @ ensures this.a == arr; @*7 public void init(Object[] arr) { this.a = arr; method specification





Advanced Features

- specifications that include just pre- and postconditions and invariants are just the tip of the iceberg
- a variety of convenience annotations are available for common specification patterns
 - non-null default semantics, non-null elements in collections, strong validity of expressions, specification lifting for fields; initial state and history constraints; redundant specifications; exceptional termination; informal specifications; freshness; purity; examples; set comprehension; concurrency patterns
- a multitude of concepts that support rich specifications also exist
 - lightweight vs. heavyweight specs; privacy modifiers and visibility; instance vs. static specs; alias control via the universe type system; data refinement; datagroups; heap access and reachability; first-order quantifiers and boolean logic operators; generalized quantifiers; type operators; loop annotations; assumptions and assertions; axioms; several models of arithmetic; non-termination; frame axioms

Advanced Example(s)

}

}

// The classic Bag of integers example

```
class Bag {
  int[] a = new int [0];
  int n;
```

}

```
Bag(int[] i) {
   n = i.length;
   a = new int[n];
   System.arraycopy(i, 0,
                     a, 0, n);
```

```
int extractMin() {
  int m = Integer.MAX VALUE;
  int mindex = 0;
  if (a != null) {
     for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {</pre>
         if (a[i] < m) {
             mindex = i;
             m = a[i];
         }
     }
     n--;
     a[mindex] = a[n]:
     return m;
  } else {
     return 0;
```



add Javadocs for humans

* A bag of integers.

int[] my_contents;

int my bag size;

* contents.

* @version JTRES-23102012

* @author The DEC SRC ESC/Java research teams

/** A representation of the elements of \bigstar

//@ invariant empty == (my bag size == 0);

* <code>input</code> as its initial

* Oparam the input the initial contents

//@ assignable my_contents, my_bag_size;

my bag size <= my contents.length; */</pre>

* @author Joe Kiniry (kiniry@acm.org)

this bag of integers. */

/** This size of this bag. */ 🛧

/*@ invariant 0 <= my bag size &&</pre>

//@ public ghost boolean empty;

* Build a new bag, copying

* of the new bag. */

/**

*

*/

class Bag {

/**

Document It!

tighten specs on formal parameters

/** @return the minimum value in this bag and remove it from the bag. */ //@ requires !empty; //@ modifies empty; //@ modifies my_bag_size, my_contents[*]; public int extractMin() { ... }

hide unnecessary methods and method bodies henceforth



introduce datagroups

Data Abstraction

```
class Bag {
  private /*@ spec public */ int[] my contents;
    //@ in objectState;
                                                        add data
  //@ maps my_contents[*] \into objectState;
                                                       refinement
  private /*@ spec_public */ int my bag size;
    //@ in objectState;
  /*@ invariant 0 <= my bag size &&</pre>
        my bag size <= my contents.length; */</pre>
  //@ public ghost boolean empty; in objectState;
  //@ invariant empty == (my bag size == 0);
  //@ public behavior
       assignable objectState: 🛏
  //@
       ensures empty == (the_input.length == 0);
  //@
       signals (Exception) false;
  //@
  public /*@ pure */ Bag(final int[] the input)
    { ... }
  //@ public behavior
       requires !empty;
  //@
                                             now supports
       assignable objectState;
  //a
        signals (Exception) false;
  //a
                                      specification evolution
  public int extractMin() { ... }
```

use universe type system

Control Aliasing



Specs for Reasoning

```
class Bag {
  private /*@ \rep */ int[] my contents;
    //@ in objectState;
 //@ maps my contents[*] \into objectState;
 private /*@ \rep */ int my bag size;
    //@ in objectState;
  /*@ private invariant 0 <= my bag size &&</pre>
                                                               //@
        my bag size <= my contents.length; */</pre>
                                                               //a
                                                               //@
 //@ public model boolean empty; in objectState;
                                                               //@
 //@ represents empty <- isEmpty();</pre>
                                                               /*@
 //@ public invariant empty <==> (my bag size == 0);
 //@ public behavior
 //@ assignable objectState;
       ensures isEmpty() <==> (the input.length == 0);
  //@
       ensures my contents.equal(the input);
  //@
       ensures my bag size == the input.length;
  //a
       signals (Exception) false;
  //@
  public /*@ pure */ Bag(final int[] the input) { ... }
                                                             ł
```

```
//@ signals (Exception) false;
public int extractMin() { ... }
```

fully specify interface behavior

Internal Specs for Reasoning

```
public int extractMin() {
  int m = Integer.MAX_VALUE;
  int mindex = 0:
  /*@ maintaining m != Integer.MAX_VALUE ==>
      (\forall int j; 0 <= j & j < i & j != mindex;</pre>
       my_contents[j] < m & my_contents[mindex] == m);</pre>
   */
  //@ decreasing my_bag_size - i;
  for (int i = 0; i < my_bag_size; i++) {</pre>
    if (my_contents[i] < m) {</pre>
      mindex = i;
                                                     add loop
      m = my_contents[i];
                                                   specifications
  my_bag_size--;
  my_contents[mindex] = my_contents[my_bag_size];
  return m;
```



Complementary Tools

- different strengths
 - runtime checking exhibits real errors
 - static checking ensures better coverage
 - verification provides strong guarantees

Typical Methodology

- I. runtime checker (program and tests)
- 2. extended static checking
- 3. verification

Rigorous Methodology

- I. perform formal analysis and high-level design (e.g., with UML or BON)
- 2. generate or hand-write detailed design in JML (Beetlz)
- 3. check soundness and measure quality of specifications using static checkers (Metrics, ESC/Java2)
- 4. generate unit tests (jmlunit, JMLunitNG, KeYTestGen)
- 5. use runtime checker during validation and execution
- perform syntactic and semantic static analysis (CheckStyle, PMD, FindBugs, Metrics, ESC/Java2, Beetlz, AutoGrader)
- 7. perform verification (Jack, JIVE, Krakatoa, Mobius PVE, KeY, CHARGE!)

Interest in JML

- dozens of tools
- state-of-the-art specification language
- large and open research community
 - nearly 30 research groups worldwide
 - over 200 research papers published
 - dozens of PhD dissertations

See jmlspecs.org

Advantages to JML

- reuse language design
- ease communication with other researchers
- share customers for science and engineering

Join us!

More at <u>www.jmlspecs.org</u>

- documents
 - "Design by Contract with JML"
 - "An overview of JML tools and applications"
 - "Preliminary Design of JML"
 - "JML's Rich, Inherited Specifications for Behavioral Subtypes"
 - "JML Reference Manual"
- Also:
 - Examples, teaching material.
 - Downloads, SourceForge project.
 - Links to papers, etc.

JML's Relevance to RT Java

- existing API specifications
- specification-only constructs
 - ghost fields
 - model fields, methods, classes, and programs
 - native models
- memory-related specification constructs
- resource specifications

Existing API Specs

- existing API specs for the JDK are poor, but for JavaCard and RT Java are quite good
- API specifications are written lazily and in bursts during JML "Specathons" run by myself and Zimmerman
 - a novel spec-writing process and tool support has been published in TAP'12
- moderately complete specification exist for few core JDK packages (java.[io, lang, util])
- poor specs exist for other core JDK packages (java.[awt, math, net, security, sql])
- complete specs exist for javacard.framework and javax.realtime thanks to Nijmegen researchers et al.

Ghosts

- **ghost** fields and variables are useful for explicitly modeling *explicit* specification-only data
- they are used inside of assertions like contracts and invariants
- their value is explicitly updated using the set statement
- recall: //@ public model boolean empty; in objectState; //@ represents empty <- isEmpty(); //@ public invariant empty <==> (my_bag_size == 0);

and inside of extractMin()

```
//@ set empty = n == 0;
//@ assert empty == (n == 0);
```

Models

- model fields, methods, classes, and programs are extremely useful for modeling platform constructs and algorithms
 - model programs are used to specify abstract algorithms and a concrete method's execution must refines its model program
 - model classes and methods are useful for abstracting domain concepts into a specification
 - e.g., novel memory models like in RT Java

Native Models

- native models permit one to define the semantics of a JML model in another formalism/tool
 - some JML model classes (pure, functional, executable, ADT-based sets, lists, bags, etc.) have native models expressed in Coq, Isabelle, or PVS
 - some JDK concurrency constructs have native models expressed in LTL or PVS
 - the Java memory model has native models expressed in rich heap models in various HOLs and SMT

Memory-related Specs

- **reach** expressions permit one to specify and reason about the set of objects reachable from a reference within a heap
- //@ public invariant
- //@ (\forall Object o, p, MemoryArea a, b;
- //@ a = MemoryArea.getMemoryArea(o) &
- //@ b = MemoryArea.getMemoryArea(p) & a != b;
- //@ (a instanceof ImmortalMemory) &
- //@ (b instanceof HeapMemory) ==>
- //@ reach(b).intersection(reach(a)).isEmpty());

Resource Specs: Stack Depth

 measured_by permits one to specify the measure of recursion to reason about termination, a la PVS's measure construct, except limits to the integer type

```
factorial(x: nat): RECURSIVE nat =
    IF x = 0 THEN 1 ELSE x * factorial(x - 1) ENDIF
    MEASURE (LAMBDA (x: nat): x)
```

```
//@ measured_by x;
int factorial(int x) {
  if (x == 0) return 1;
  else return x * factorial(x-1);
```

Primitive Space Complexity

• working_space is used to specify the maximum amount of heap space, in bytes, used by a method call or constructor

//@ public behavior

- //@ assignable objectState;
- //@ ensures isEmpty() <==> (the_input.length == 0);
- //@ signals (Exception) false;
- //@ working_space 4 * the_input.length;
- //@ working_space_redundantly

//@ \working_space(\type(int)) * the_input.length;
public Bag(final int[] the_input)

Space for an Object

 a space specification describes the amount of space consumed by an object (much like sizeof in the C family of languages)

//@ public behavior

- //@ assignable objectState;
- //@ ensures isEmpty() <==> (the_input.length == 0);
- //@ ensures space(my_contents) == space(the_input);
- //@ signals (Exception) false;

```
//@ working_space 4 * the_input.length;
```

public Bag(final int[] the_input)

Primitive Time Complexity

- the duration clause is used to specify the maximum number of virtual machine cycles a method (not counting garbage collection time)
- unfortunately, general-purpose VM cycle time for instructions has never been specified in the Java VM specification
- duration clause parameter is of type long, not an algebraic expression (not big-O notation)

Research Opportunities

- tool development and maintenance
- extensible tool architecture
- integration with modern IDEs
- unification of tools
- integration with Java annotations
- domain-specific language extensions
 - via new models and language extensions

JML Models and Extensions for RT Java

- RT Java deserves rich native model-based specifications for:
 - memory-related classes using a rich abstracted heap model
 - threads, scheduling, and synchronization
 - time, clocks, and timers
 - asynchrony

Java Level X Extensions for RT Java

- this community should propose and experiment with new JML annotations for:
 - time complexity that understands big-O (and related) notations
 - memory types
 - timers and asynchronous events
 - ACET and WCET scheduling

The State of JML

- many experimental compilers are available for "modern" Java
 - AJML2 (aspect-based), JAJML (JastAdd-based), JIR (DOM-like model of specified code), JML3 (Eclipse JDT-based), JMLEclipse (JDT-based also), OpenJML (OpenJDK-based), JML4 (JDT-based), JML6 (Java-annotation + JDT-based)
- OpenJML and JavaContract are the cleanest foundation for research tools

The Future of JML

- The future of JML is up to the community, which can easily include you.
- The language evolves due to community need and research opportunity.
- Tools get written and maintained because they are necessary for research, experimentation, and teaching.
- Personally, my group will continue to work on maintaining ESC/Java2, ADLs for Java (BON), refinement to/from JML (Beetlz), releasing a new Mobius PVE, finishing OpenJML, new specification and reasoning constructs for OO systems, lots of case studies, and writing "The JML Book" and "Dependable Software Engineering" with colleagues.